

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,.

Case No. 2:17-cr-00137-PD-1

Plaintiff,

S Courthouse 601 Market Street

NOV 14 Proit adelphia, PA 19106

RUFUS SETH WILLIAMS, ET AL.,

v.

KATEBARKMAN, Clerk Dep. Cler Dep. Clerk

October 24, 2017

2:01 p.m.

SENTENCING HEARING BEFORE THE HONORABLE PAUL S. DIAMOND UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

APPEARANCES:

For the Plaintiff

ROBERT A. ZAUZMER

United States:

U.S. ATTORNEY'S OFFICE

615 Chestnut Street, Suite 1250 Philadelphia, PA 19106

For Defendant

Rufus Seth Williams:

THOMAS F. BURKE

Borum Burke & Didonato LLC

1500 John F Kennedy Blvd., Suite 900

Philadelphia, PA 19102

Audio Operator:

BRIAN JOHNSON

TRANSCRIBED BY:

GILLIAN LAWRENCE

Lawrence Court Transcription & Video

P.O. Box 530790 DeBary, FL 32753 386.216.5921

Proceedings recorded by electronic sound recording, transcript produced by transcription service.

I N D E X

	PAGE
LETTER FROM SONITA WILLIAMS	10
ALLOCUTION OF THE DEFENDANT	17
INTENDED SENTENCE STATED BY THE COURT	32
JUDGMENT OF THE COURT	33
CERTIFICATE OF COURT TRANSCRIBER	42
EXHIBITS	
EXHIBITS ID.	EVD.
NONE	

(Call to Order of the Court at 2:01 p.m.)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

JUDGE PAUL S. DIAMOND: Please be seated, everybody. Good afternoon.

MR. ROBERT A. ZAUZMER: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Good afternoon.

MR. THOMAS F. BURKE: Good afternoon, Your Honor.

MS. JACKIE WIDMEIER: Good afternoon, Your Honor.

THE COURT: We are here for sentencing in this matter. The sentencing is on the basis of a guilty plea to Count 1 of the superseding indictment that charged the defendant with travel and use of interstate facilities to promote and facilitate bribery, contrary to Pennsylvania law in violation of federal law.

On June 29th of this year, Mr. Williams appeared before me and pled guilty to Count 1 of the superseding indictment; I conducted the colloquy and accepted the guilty plea. The parties also stipulated that Mr. Williams committed the offenses charged in Counts 2 through 29 of the superseding indictment. Ten additional counts of travel and use of interstate facilities to promote and facilitate bribery, contrary to Pennsylvania law, in violation of federal law. Two counts of obstructing and affecting interstate and foreign commerce by extortion under color of official right in violation of federal law. Two counts of scheming to defraud 25 the City and County of Philadelphia and its citizens of

defendant's honest services, also in violation of federal law.

Twelve counts of wire fraud in violation of federal law, and

two counts of mail fraud.

I have received a revised presentence investigation report, a sentencing recommendation, the government's sentencing memorandum and motion for order of forfeiture. The defendant's sentencing memorandum with numerous letters in support. I received a letter from Ms. Dreisbach (Phonetic), and a letter from Ms. Motherall (Phonetic). I today, received a letter from Richard Wall (Phonetic), I also received the government's final order of forfeiture which was just submitted to me.

Are there any additional -- or, first of all, are there any other materials that have been submitted that I've not just referred to?

Mr. Burke?

MR. BURKE: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Zauzmer?

MR. ZAUZMER: No, sir.

THE COURT: Are there any additional materials anyone would like to submit now, Mr. Burke?

MR. BURKE: Your Honor, the only additional material that I'll submit during my presentation is a letter from Sonita Williams and if the Court doesn't mind, I'd like to read that into the record.

```
5
                         Certainly.
             THE COURT:
1
                        Thank you.
             MR. BURKE:
2
             THE COURT: MR. Zauzmer?
3
             MR. ZAUZMER: No, Your Honor.
4
             THE COURT: Mr. Williams, have you received a revised
5
   presentence investigation report?
6
             MR. RUFUS SETH WILLIAMS: I have, Your Honor.
7
             THE COURT: Have you had full and ample opportunity
 8
   to review it, and have you, in fact, done so?
 9
             THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.
10
             THE COURT: Mr. Burke, have you reviewed the revised
11
   presentence investigation report?
12 |
             MR. BURKE: I have, Your Honor.
13
             THE COURT: Mr. Zauzmer, have you reviewed the
14
   revised presentence investigation report?
15
                         Yes, Your Honor.
             THE COURT:
16
                        I have reviewed defendant's objection to
              THE COURT:
17
   the report, he argues that paragraph 28 of the report
18
   incorrectly provides that Mr. Williams requested a police
19
   escort for Mr. Ali to be provided by Captain Sullivan when Mr.
   Ali arrived at the Philadelphia airport -- flew into the
   Philadelphia airport. And my reading of the record confirms
22
   that objection so I will sustain the objection.
              Does anyone have any other objections to the revised
24
25 presentence investigation report that he has not previously
```

made, but would like to make now?

Mr. Burke?

18 |

MR. BURKE: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Zauzmer?

MR. ZAUZMER: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: I will accept and adopt the facts and conclusions as set out in the report for purposes of this sentencing.

Accordingly, I conclude that under the guidelines, the advisory guidelines, the offense level is 24, the criminal history category is one, and the advisory guideline sentences between 51 to 63 months imprisonment. However, there is a statutory maximum penalty of 60 months on Count 1 of the superseding indictment, meaning that the guideline range is between 51 and 60 months imprisonment.

Yesterday, I granted the government's motion for an order of forfeiture of the 33,000 -- \$33,009, and I believe that was part of the -- actually, it was a much larger amount, but it was part of the guilty plea agreement; is that right, Mr. Burke?

MR. BURKE: Yes, Your Honor.

THE COURT: And the government also requested that I grant its -- grant its motion to substitute assets because one or more of the conditions of 21 U.S.C. 853(p) had been met but didn't indicate what -- how it would satisfy those conditions.

Do you object to the substitution request, Mr. Burke? 1 MR. BURKE: I do not, Your Honor. 2 THE COURT: Very well. Then I will grant your 3 request, Mr. Zauzmer, to substitute property in the event as 4 you seem to think there are no assets to satisfy the \$33,000 5 forfeiture. 6 MR. ZAUZMER: Well, if I could address that, Your 7 8 Honor. THE COURT: Sure. 9 MR. ZAUZMER: I think, Your Honor's point recording 10 the fact that there are no substitute assets right now is well 11 taken. In our form orders that we've submitted throughout the 12 District Court, we include the provision but I think Your Honor 131 was right that it's not necessary at this time. So that's why 14 once we received your order, and appreciating that order, we prepared this new final order, which is only a money judgment 16 that we're asking for at this time. 17 THE COURT: Well, you can -- you can move to amend. 18 The statute doesn't give you any limit in terms of --19 MR. ZAUZMER: I -- exact -- well, what we really 20 should do is if we identify substitute assets --21 | THE COURT: Right. 22 MR. ZAUZMER: -- we will always come back to the 23 24 Court --

25

THE COURT:

Right

```
8
             MR. ZAUZMER: -- under Section 853. So what we're
1
   asking is that the Court now, as part of the judgment, sign the
   final order of forfeiture. That will be final as to Mr.
3
   Williams. I don't think there will be any third-party claims,
4
   but it's not final as to any third party.
5
             THE COURT: Any objections?
6
                        No, Your Honor; I've reviewed it.
 7
             MR. BURKE:
             THE COURT: Very well. Are there any other issues in
8
   dispute, Mr. Burke?
 9
             MR. BURKE: I don't think so; no, sir.
10
             THE COURT: Mr. Zauzmer?
11
             MR. ZAUZMER: No, Your Honor.
12
                        Mr. Burke, do you have any evidence,
             THE COURT:
13
   remarks, or witnesses you would like to present?
14
                        May I, Your Honor?
15
             MR. BURKE:
             THE COURT:
                        You may.
16
                        Your Honor, as the Court is aware under
             MR. BURKE:
17
   Section 3553(a), the Court, obviously, has to consider the
18|
   nature and circumstances of the crime. The Court obviously sat
20 in trial for almost two weeks and listened to the evidence, and
   it was also played out over the many months prior to the
21
   trial's beginning. I ask the Court -- obviously, the Court's
   going to focus on the nature and circumstances of the crime,
   but as the Court is aware you also have to look at the history
```

and characteristics of the defendant in formulating in your

mind what the appropriate sentence is. So I ask the Court to take into consideration the following: the Court has appropriately outlined what the guidelines are, at 51 to 63, and they suggest a guideline range, but with a statutory max of 60 months. The Court appropriately and correctly stated that the guidelines are 51 to 60 months.

First and foremost, I am aware that the Court -- or I filed with the Court yesterday a multitude of letters and I, knowing the Court's thoroughness, I'm sure the Court has reviewed them thoroughly, sir, and I ask that you make them part of the record.

THE COURT: So ordered.

MR. BURKE: Many of the authors of these letters are present in the courtroom, sir. Some are not, they could not be here for a variety of reasons. I would like to turn and ask the audience to stand for any of the supporters of Seth Williams so that you may see who those people are?

THE COURT: Sure.

MR. BURKE: All those here in favor of Seth Williams, will you please rise?

Thank you.

I do have one additional letter that was submitted to me this morning by Sonita Williams. May I read it, sir?

THE COURT: You may.

MR. BURKE: Okay.

2

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

13

14

15

16

18

191

21

23

It says, dear, Your Honor, my name is Sonita Williams. I have known Seth Williams for 23 years. For 17 of those years I was his wife. Seth and I have remained close despite our divorce and I am proud to be here to support him today, not just because he is the father of my children, but because he is my friend. I'm not here to pretend that Seth is a perfect man, but Seth is a good man. Seth is a family man. He is a man devoted to his community. For much of his life he has been committed to doing good and helping those less fortunate than himself.

That commitment to others led him to become the District Attorney of Philadelphia. Unfortunately, Seth is also a flawed man and his flaws led him to make flawed decisions and, ultimately, has led all of us to being here today. Your Honor, you have heard many of the good things Seth has done for his family, friends, and the community at large. I ask that you consider those things, along with the charges against him, as you sentence him today. I ask that you also consider the remorse that Seth feels for his actions that have brought us 20 here and know that he truly wants to be a better man.

Seth has lost everything, the career he has worked so hard for, the respect of his colleagues and friends, and his very freedom. Being away from his children and his elderly mother has been extremely difficult for all of them. asking that as you impose sentence today, you consider the

1 whole of Seth's life, good and bad. Consider the man who has done much good for many, the man who has love -- who loves and is loved by family and friends, and the man who has the capacity and willingness to live better -- a better life, and be even a -- and be an even better man.

3

4

5

6

7

12

13

14

15

17

181

19

201

21

22

23 |

I am hopeful that you -- as you impose sentence, Seth will be allowed to remain close to his mother and children so that they may be allowed to continue their close bond with him. His mother Imelda is 85 years old and has not seen him since June 29th, 2017. His daughters, Taylor and Hope, have only been allowed four visits since that time. I ask that you consider them and how your sentence will affect them.

Thank you for your consideration, sincerely, Sonita Williams.

As I was stating to the Court, the Court needs to focus on the history and characteristics of the defendant. And in imposing the appropriate sentence, I'd ask the Court to consider the following. I'd asked the Court to consider the thousands of lives that Mr. Williams changed not just as the District Attorney and the crime victims that he -- he represented, but the many years of service he had in the District Attorney's office as an assistant district attorney. I know the Court's history, the Court can appreciate as an assistant district attorney the long hours, the low pay, and the heavy caseload. No one does it for the glory, they do it

3

4

5

6

11

13

14

15

16

17

18 |

19

21

22

for the good of the job, so when imposing a sentence and determining or considering his nature -- his nature and characteristics, I ask you to consider the many years he served as an assistant district attorney in the city of Philadelphia.

I'd ask you to also look at, notwithstanding the fact that the crime for which he stands -- stands convicted of occurred while he was the District Attorney of Philadelphia County, I ask you to look at the great strides that he made to improve the office, including changing the office from a horizontal base to a vertical base prosecution. As the Court is aware there was great effort for Mr. Williams and his office to convince the criminal justice system in the First Judicial District to change the way that the prosecution teams in the courtrooms were set up. Mr. Williams was able to implement a vertical prosecution, enabling assigning prosecutors to a particular neighborhood so that those prosecutors knew the police better, knew the crime trends, and could better effectuate criminal prosecutions in those various neighborhoods, enabling what all prosecutors want is safer streets for the community. So that was a great achievement and I ask the Court to consider that.

I ask the Court to consider the many programs he implemented for low-level offenders, by creating more diversionary programs for low-level offenders. It certainly freed up more money for the District Attorney's office to go

20 l

enabled first-time offenders to pay their debt to society and still maintain a clean record. I think in the criminal justice system all the participants, the lawyers, the judges, probation officers, police officers, agents, understand that individuals with criminal records find it difficult moving forward in society. Mr. Williams certainly recognized that and expanded those programs, AMP 1 and AMP 2 and the SAM program.

Some individuals, obviously, couldn't qualify for that, Your Honor, so he expanded the programs because these individuals may have already had criminal records that were the low-level nature, but he expanded some of these programs to attempt to get these individuals some sort of education so notwithstanding their criminal conviction they could still move forward in a positive way.

I ask the Court to take into consideration that under Seth Williams, the discharge rate of felony arrests at the preliminary hearing drastically dropped, primarily due to his implementation of the vertical prosecution system. I ask the Court to also take into consideration that under Mr. Williams's leadership at the District Attorney's office the request for death penalty notices in capital cases was greatly reduced. I asked the Court to take that into consideration.

I also ask the Court to take into consideration his nearly 20 year service in the military, beginning in the Army

Reserves in 1998 and the Judge Advocate General where he attained the rank of Major. He has served for the Pennsylvania National Guard since 2015. I ask the Court to take that into consideration in considering the appropriate sentence.

I ask the Court to take into consideration, his dedication to his faith, the many years of service he had to St. Carthage in West Philadelphia. And the archdiocese as a member of the parish council and the Catholic Church's Cluster Council. The parish council as the Court knows, Mr. Williams and others in the community of the parish are charged with running the parish outside of the theology. Mr. Williams's work led to his placement on the arch — on Catholic Church's Cluster Council with which also — which oftentimes had to deal with the painful job of downsizing or closing parishes and then unify them in another parish due to the shrinking population of Catholics. So I'd ask the Court to take into consideration his long service to the Catholic Church.

I also ask you to take into consideration the courage Mr. Williams showed in as a Catholic taking on the Catholic Church and uncovering years of abuse by pedophile priests. The investigations here in Philadelphia became a model for other DA's offices in other dioceses throughout the country. I ask you to take into consideration his 20 years of service in the Overbrook Civic Association where he volunteered his time in neighborhood beautification, trash removal, zoning issues, and

3

4

5

6

7

8

1.0

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

20 ll

21

organized sports. Mr. Williams, himself, was a coach for many, many years for his daughters and other boys and girls in the neighborhood as they progressed through the sports program.

I ask you to take into consideration his very strong family ties, particularly his mother, his former wife and his two teenage daughters. Although divorced, Mr. Williams and his former wife, Sonita, are both primary parents and Mr. Williams was fully invested in all aspects of their lives. I also ask you to take into consideration when formulating the appropriate sentence for the defendant, I ask the Court to take into consideration some of the ancillary punishments that Mr. Williams will or has suffered. Mr. Williams was suspended from the Pennsylvania Bar as of last week. He was disbarred. Mr. Williams will not be able to practice law upon his -- from release for however long the -- the Court determines the appropriate sentence. So he is not going to be able to obtain employment for himself, and obviously for the restitution that he needs to pay, in his chosen profession.

He has also lost his sizable pension. As Mr. Williams is now in his fifties, as I am, your thoughts start to change in terms of how you're going to support yourself in your — as you are winding down your career, I ask you to take that into consideration, he is going to have to start from scratch. And when he is released he is going to be virtually penniless, so I ask the Court to just consider that in what the Court

```
16
   deems to be an appropriate sentence.
             The only other request I have of the Court is that
2
   the Court balance not only the nature and circumstances of the
3
   crime but the history and characteristics of the defendant.
4
   do ask that the Court -- if the Court would consider releasing
5
   Mr. --
 6
             THE COURT:
                        We're not there yet.
 7
                         Yes, sir. We'll do that at the end?
             MR. BURKE:
 8
             THE COURT:
                         Yes.
 9
                        Your Honor, I have -- I'm going to read
             MR. BURKE:
10
   an allocution from my client, may I wait to the end or do you
11
   want me to do that now?
12
             THE COURT: I was going to call on your client right
13
14
   now --
             MR. BURKE:
                         Okay.
15
                         Mr. Williams, you have the right to
             THE COURT:
16
   allocution, that means you have the right to speak to me on
17
   your own behalf and you may do so now if you choose to exercise
19
   that right.
              MR. BURKE: I'm going to read a prepared statement
20
   from Mr. Williams if that's all right with the Court?
              THE COURT: It certainly is.
22
                          Thank you, sir.
              MR. BURKE:
23
              MR. ZAUZMER: Your Honor, I would ask that Mr.
24
```

Williams confirm that on the record --

MR. BURKE: Oh.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

20

22

24

MR. ZAUZMER: -- that he doesn't wish to personally address the Court.

THE DEFENDANT: If it please the Court, good afternoon, Your Honor. It is my wish to have Mr. Burke read into the record my statement.

THE COURT: Very well.

Thank you, Your Honor. THE DEFENDANT:

MR. BURKE: May I, Your Honor?

THE COURT: Yes.

MR. BURKE: Good afternoon, and if it please the Court, Your Honor, prosecutors, federal agents, members of the media, friends and family. I have made mistakes, mistakes of character and judgment. These are my mistakes and my mistakes alone. I am truly sorry for that, for the embarrassment brought to my family, friends, the institutions I love, and for failing the people of Philadelphia who put their trust in me.

I want to thank my attorneys, Thomas Burke, Trevan 19 Borum and John Hanamirian for their sacrifice, skillful advocacy and friendship. I also want to thank all of the wonderful people that sent letters to the Court on my behalf and those that -- and those that are here to support me today. Your support has given me hope and I am extremely grateful.

Your Honor, I have spent my entire life trying to 25 serve my church, my community, and my nation. I owe everything

to my parents Rufus and Imelda Williams. Their -- they sacrificed for me, instilled all of the right values and by their lives demonstrated to all what it meant to live, love, laugh, and to serve others. I am proud of all of my accomplishments and I give my parents and God the glory. I apologize to them for my failures and for letting them down. My success and achievements were theirs. My errors were my own. My father always told me, unless you are willing to be a part of the solution, you forfeit your right to complain. So I tried to help find solutions that I thought would live up to my parents' example at each phase of my life.

Your Honor, I owe a huge apology to the people of Philadelphia, serving as District Attorney for the city I love and becoming the first African American DA in the history of Philadelphia was a privilege of my life. But rather than holding myself to a higher standard, I squandered that trust placed in me. I am truly sorry. After serving as an ADA for ten and a half years, I wanted to be the DA to help find solutions and hopefully make the office and the criminal justice system better.

It was my goal to be a good steward of the office and to try to -- and to turn over my successor -- to turn it over to my successor better than when I took the oath of office in January of 2010. I'm extremely proud of that. We achieved the DA's office working in collaboration with the community,

police, courts and clergy, to expand diversionary programs, community-based prosecutions, GunStat, and focused deterrence.

20 l

I loved serving as DA. The public policy changes, community events, walking in the neighborhoods and hearing the concerns of neighbors, visiting hospitals, speaking at schools, I would not have been able to do any of these things without the hard work of the people that got me elected, volunteers and campaign candidates. They believed in me and my vision to be the District Attorney. I have failed them.

To Kelley Hodge and all of them men and women, past and present that work at the Philadelphia District Attorney's Office, I offer you my sincerest and humble apology for the shame I brought to the office that I love.

Your Honor, I have spent my adult life trying to provide for my daughters, Alyssia, Taylor, and Hope. I hope to create -- I hoped to create a legacy that would open doors for them. I love them dearly, they have stood me during this my darkest hour, and I am grateful. I must publicly apologize to them for the embarrassment, suffering, and trauma that my actions have caused them.

Your Honor, with your permission I will take the opportunity to publically apologize to the two women that I have loved the most in my life and whom I have hurt the most. My sins, my selfishness, my immaturity, my bad judgment, and self-destructive behavior has hurt both of them deeply and it

was unnecessary. It has caused them great pain and public humiliation. So to Stacey and Sonita I offer my most sincerest and heartfelt contrition. I beg their forgiveness.

I must also apologize to the friends, loved ones, and all the members of the Crodupp (Phonetic), Cummings and Williams' family. Sonita and Stacey deserve better from me at all times and I let them down. I am forever indebted to them and will spend the rest of my life working to fix all that I have broken and to regain their trust. I know words are cheap, I ask that they allow my actions to speak for me from this day forward.

Your Honor, on the morning of June 29th I accepted the responsibility for what I have done. As a result, I lost my job, reputation, pension, house, law license, and liberty. I have tried to use this experience and time to learn to grow from my mistakes and to atone for my sins. I believe that all of this had to occur and as it is an essential step, the price I had to pay, to complete the shedding of my old life and to be free to live — and for me to be free to live a new life.

In closing I'm a man of faith and it has been my faith that has carried me this far. It is also because of my faith that I know that rehabilitation, reconciliation, second chances and forgiveness, are all possible. When I am released I will still be a relatively young man and I will have the opportunity to prove to my family, my daughters, and my

community that my mistakes were just that, mistakes, and that I can be more than I have been and that the mistakes will not define me.

> That's the statement from my client, Your Honor. THE COURT: Anything you want to add, Mr. Williams? THE DEFENDANT: No thank you, Your Honor.

That's all. I only have the final MR. BURKE: requests, sir.

THE COURT: Very well.

Mr. Zauzmer?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

14

171

20

22

MR. ZAUZMER: Thank you, Your Honor. Your Honor, as the Court is aware the government requests that the Court impose a sentence of 60 months imprisonment, which is within the guideline range. It's also the statutory maximum for this offense. I'm going to just briefly respond to Mr. Burke's suggestion that the Court consider Mr. Williams' public That's something that we strongly disagree with. The public office and whatever benefits that come with that do not give anybody the license to commit a crime, and certainly do not give anybody the ability to earn a lower penalty that some other citizen who did not engage in public service.

There are dozens of people in this courtroom right 23 now who are public servants, a federal judge, a probation officer, pretrial services court staff, law enforcement agents, United States marshals, and none of these people here are

thinking, oh, I want to go commit a crime because I'll then get a benefit for the good public service that I've provided.

That's really antithetical to the way our democracy is established.

Mr. Williams can get credit in the public forum for the things he did in the DA's office but that's not why we're here today. We're here today because of the crimes he committed using that office in order to commit those crimes and that's what has to be punished. And I think Mr. Williams pretty much recognized that in his allocution statement when he appropriately apologized to the people in the District Attorney's office. Whatever good programs or things he did while he was there, this case had a devastating effect on the District Attorney's office.

There are hundreds of assistant DAs and other law enforcement agents who go to work every day and do a very tough job for a lot less money than Mr. Williams was paid to prosecute the many crimes that are committed in this city. And to have the elected District Attorney be exposed as someone who was selling his office for favors, who was committing other frauds, has a devastating effect on them, and on their pursuit of justice that they're trying to accomplish every day. That's what we should be focused on today and not giving any benefit for the public service that he was compensated for and that he swore himself to do.

Now, there are positive attributes. We're not here to say that Mr. Williams is an entirely bad person. I read the very thoughtful, thorough letters, the character letters that were presented by his friends and family. There's no doubt that Mr. Williams is a loving father, he's a devoted member of his church, he has engaged in other community activities outside of his elected paid position and what that warrants — in our view what it warranted, is that the government is not seeking an upward variance in this case. The government did not have to agree, of course, to this plea agreement to sentence at 60 months. We did it because we looked at the circumstances and they're really no different now than we perceived them back in June.

We looked at the circumstances and we saw that these crimes were serious, that the guidelines for a serious sentence in the range of 51 to 63 months and that because of the other positive attributes of his life, we were not going to seek an upward variance, which of course is our normal position. As Your Honor knows we ordinarily recommend within guideline sentences and so we were pleased to take a plea that permits us to ask for the appropriate within guideline sentence. That 60-month sentence is significant and it's appropriate.

We have crimes here involving taking bribes, committing a fraud on a nursing home, committing a fraud on the city and on the HIDTA program by taking vehicles for his

personal use. Not once, not twice, but every single day for five or six years, using these vehicles as his own. And, of course, the PAC fraud as well, using the money of campaign contributors to live a more lavish lifestyle for the meals and the gym expenses and the \$3,000 private birthday party and everything else.

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

13

14

15

16

18

19

21

24

That all speaks volumes about Mr. Williams' character as well, that he was one who just would -- basically took whatever opportunity came his way over a long period of time. These were not impetuous crimes of a young person. These were the crimes of a man in his forties, who was the chief law enforcement officer of this city. And that, of course, requires a significant penalty. One of the things I saw in the character letters, I disagree with. I don't have to name the person but one respected gentleman wrote -- he said, I don't believe he is a bad person nor a person I would characterize as a criminal. I view him as a man of faith who has made a mistake in his life and has realized what those mistakes were, and is trying to get his life back together. So with that, I respectfully disagree. He is a criminal and he was a criminal over a long period of time. We're not talking about a, quote, mistake. We're talking about a years' long dereliction of his responsibility to others.

This person in his letter -- this was someone from 25 his -- his JAG background in the Army. This person also

suggested that Mr. Williams would have been better served if -THE COURT: I'm just looking at the letter, I'm
sorry.

MR. ZAUZMER: That's fine, and I don't want to interrupt.

THE COURT: No, go ahead.

19|

MR. ZAUZMER: And I know you've studied the letters as closely as I did. The -- this person suggested that he would have been better served by a board of ethics advisors. The fact of the matter is not just public officials but ordinary citizens do not need an ethics advisor to know that you cannot take a bribe. They don't need an ethics advisor to know that you don't take cars from your employer and use it as your personal vehicle for years on end. And certainly not cars that belong to the HIDTA program that are supposed to be used in the most sensitive and important drug investigations. That you don't use campaign contributions for these lavish meals and deep tissue massages and all the other things that were listed at trial. And you certainly don't take money from a nursing home or from the friends of your mother who are trying to support your mother and help her with her expenses.

What ordinary citizens know is that if you're fortunate enough, as Mr. Williams was, to earn \$200,000 a year or more that that, and even much less than that, certainly permits you to live a comfortable life, to care for your

family, to support your family and your needs. And it wasn't good enough for Mr. Williams. He just wanted more and that's where we get these serial offenses that we needed to lay out before Your Honor and the jury in June.

And then the final point, of course, is that Mr. Williams is not an ordinary citizen. He was the elected chief law enforcement officer of this city and county, one of the largest metropolitan areas in the United States. There needs to be a significant sentence for retribution, for deterrence — both for specific deterrence as to Mr. Williams, and for general deterrence. As Your Honor knows just looking at the courtroom behind me, this sentence is not issued in secret. This will be well known throughout this area within moments of the time the sentence is imposed and it should be made clear to these citizens and to the elected officials of this area, that this type of conduct that we saw here is simply not tolerable.

Thank you very much, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Thank you. In reviewing the presentence investigation report, I have considered the guideline range sentence in the report as just one of several factors, including the following: The nature and circumstances of the offenses -- or of the offense and the history and characteristics of the defendant. The defendant, as I indicated before, pled guilty to one count and admitted the other twenty-eight. Mr. Williams served as the District

15 l

Attorney of Philadelphia from November 3rd, 2009 through June 29th, 2017. During that tenure he engaged in five separate criminal schemes, including bribery, defrauding a nursing home, defrauding his political action committee, and misuse of City vehicles.

As a result of these schemes, the defendant owes in excess of \$58,000 in restitution. As to Count 1 during February 2012, Mr. Williams agreed to perform official acts for a Bucks County resident in exchange for personal gifts, including a sofa and a trip to the Dominican Republic. That rather clinical description of the defendant's crimes doesn't really do them justice. The -- Ms. Widmeier's presentence report indicates, and there's been no objection to this, that during the time he served as District Attorney, the defendant earned between 170 and \$200,000 a year. That was not sufficient to fund what could be called an extravagant lifestyle that he craved.

The bribery -- the two bribery schemes demonstrated quite clearly and the defendant admitted that he traded official acts and promises for gifts, including cash. In defrauding the nursing home, the facts underlying that show that the defendant stole some \$23,000 that was intended to pay for his mother's care. He signed innumerable documents indicating providing quite plainly that he was the person responsible for her care, he was the responsible person, he had

1.0

17 |

control of her finances as a result, and as I believe it was the director of the nursing home and Archdiocese and nursing home, I might add, the defendant dumped her like a sack of potatoes, or he washed his hands of her, whichever metaphor you want to use. And feigned great ignorance of his obligation, well, no, no, it's the nursing home. It's the nursing home that has to pay for her care. I have to be a high roller at various restaurants in town. I have to be able to entertain my friends. I'm not going to care for my mother. I'm going to take that \$23,000.

He defrauded his own PAC. People who thought they were contributing to his political campaign were paying his dues and bills at the Union League and at the Sporting Club. Why would anyone ever want to contribute to a political campaign again when the highest law enforcement officer of this city is eating with his face out of his own political action committee. And as Mr. Zauzmer described his misuse of City vehicles to the point where a lieutenant of county detectives who is probably twice as tall as I am, sat up there on the stand trembling that he was testifying against his boss, trying to describe how he wanted to say that the defendant was using a HIDTA car, in effect, to go to the shore, but simply was terrified to do it.

Mr. Williams lived in an orphanage and foster homes before he was adopted at the age of 18 months. He is

approximately 50 or 51 years old, has three daughters, two of whom are dependent. His mother resides in a nursing home and suffers from Parkinson's disease, diabetes, congestive heart failure and kidney disease. The defendant has no criminal history.

1.7

I have considered the need for the sentence imposed to reflect the seriousness of the offenses, to promote respect for the law, to provide just punishment, to afford adequate deterrence to criminal conduct, and to protect the public from any crimes -- further crimes this defendant might commit.

There has been -- I've lived in this town since 1974 and the history of this town suggests to me that all too many public officials equate elective or political office, as they view it being synonymous with corruption and a license to be corrupt. Almost from the time you took office, Mr. Williams, you sold yourself to the parasites you surrounded yourself with, Mr. Ali, Mr. Williams. In the case of Mr. Ali you suggested you would fix a case for him. You were unable to do that but that was the plain import of what you said.

You corrupted the electoral process by stealing or converting money from your own -- your own PAC. You effectively stole money from your mother. You humiliated the men and women of the District Attorney's office, you impaired the criminal justice system, a district attorney who wasn't licensed to practice law, and you harmed all the citizens of

this city. Your profound dishonesty has -- your own profound dishonesty, in my view, has to be deterred, and other public officials have to know that there may well be a day of reckoning if they engage in corrupt conduct as you have.

4 |

I have considered the need to provide the defendant with educational and vocational training and medical care. The defendant was expelled from West Point in 1986 but later graduated from Pennsylvania State University and Georgetown University Law School. In addition to serving as Philadelphia's District Attorney, he was the Inspector General of the City and an assistant district attorney in the city, that he served as an internal investigator for the Stradley Ronon firm, and as a litigator in the Zarwin Baum firm.

Last week he was disbarred from the Pennsylvania bar. He has no history of mental illness or need for drug treatment or substance abuse treatment. Once it appears he began to get himself into trouble, he did attend therapy sessions. I have considered the need to avoid sentencing disparities and the need to provide restitution to victims. I will impose a restitution -- a -- I will issue a restitution issue in the amount of \$58,422.83, which is the amount that probation has recommended and has not been objected to.

I have considered everything submitted to me by both parties. I have considered everything in the defendant's brief, which Mr. Burke largely repeated here in court. His

brief discusses the support of his family and friends, the defendant's accomplishments as DA, the -- his military service, that he has suffered great public shame, the loss of his law license, and ancillary penalties such as the loss of his pension.

I have considered everything Mr. Burke said to me in court, the history and characteristics of his client, the letters that have been submitted in Mr. Burke's view confirm the defendant's good character, that the defendant has done good things, that he has expressed remorse, that I should look at the defendant's whole life, that I should consider the effect a prison sentence on defendant's family. The thousands of lives defendant changed not just as district attorney but as an assistant district attorney, the many improvements in the administration of the DA's office he worked, his 20 years of military service, his dedication to his faith, his courage in investigating the Catholic church, the 20 years he participated in the Overbrook Civic Association, his family ties, and, again, the ancillary punishment that he has suffered, including disbarment and loss of his pension.

I have considered the statement that the defendant chose not to make himself but to have read by his counsel, his statement of remorse, which like his testimony at his detention hearing, I simply do not find credible. Sir, much of your allocution to me sounded like a campaign speech. I find it

interesting that you say you owe everything to your parents in your allocution statement. Evidently, you didn't feel that you owed them honesty because you stole, in effect, from your mother. I deem the sentencing recommendation and the presentence report as advisory. I've made sufficient findings to fashion and impose a sentence.

3

51

6

7

8

11

12

13

14

15

17

19

20

22

23

We come now to the sentencing. I'm going to state the sentence that I intend to impose, then I will ask counsel whether there are any objections with respect to the accuracy or the regularity of the sentence. If there are objections, I will deal with them. If there are no objections, then I will impose sentence. So right now I am not imposing sentence, I'm simply stating the sentence I intend to impose.

Mr. Williams, I intend to impose the following sentence: You will serve a term of 60 months incarcerations on Count 1 of the superseding indictment. After you are released from prison, you will participate in the supervised release program of this Court for three additional years on Count 1. Upon release you are to observe the standard conditions of supervision. I will not impose a fine because I find you do not have the ability to pay fine and restitution and forfeiture. You shall pay restitution in the amount of \$58,422.83 plus interest. You shall also pay a special assessment of \$100. I will enter the government's final order 25 of forfeiture in the amount of \$33,009.

Mr. Burke, do you know of any reason why the sentence that I've just stated should not be imposed?

MR. BURKE: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Zauzmer?

MR. ZAUZMER: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Ms. Widmeier?

MS. WIDMEIER: No, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Williams, it is the judgment of this Court that you, Rufus Seth Williams, will be committed to the custody of the Bureau of Prisons to be imprisoned for a term of 60 months on Count 1 of the superseding indictment. After you are released from prison, you will participate in the supervised release program of this Court for three additional years on Count 1. Within 72 hours of release from the custody of the Bureau of Prisons you shall report in person to the probation office in the district to which you are released.

During your period of supervision, you shall not commit another federal, state, or local crime. You shall be prohibited from possessing a firearm or other dangerous device. You shall not possess any illegal, controlled substance, and shall comply with the other standard conditions of supervision in this court. You must submit to one drug test within 15 days of commencement of supervision, and at least two thereafter as determined by probation.

In addition you will comply with the following

special conditions. You shall cooperate with your probation officer in the investigation of your financial dealings and provide truthful monthly statements of your income. You shall also provide your tax returns upon request. You are prohibited from incurring any new credit charges or opening additional lines of credit without the approval of the probation department, unless you are in compliance with a payment schedule for your restitution obligation.

You shall not encumber or liquidate interest in any assets unless it is in direct service of your restitution obligation or otherwise has the express approval of the Court. You shall cooperate in the collection of DNA as determined — as directed by probation. I will waive the imposition of a fine because I find you do not have the ability to pay a fine as well as restitution. And forfeiture, I will make the government's proposed final order of forfeiture part of the J&C in this case.

You shall make restitution in the amount of \$58,422.83. Payment should be made payable to the Clerk U.S. District Court for distribution of St. Francis Center for Rehabilitation & Healthcare in the amount of \$12,449.74, care of Christine (Phonetic) Ladenburger, 1412 Landsdowne, Darby, PA 19023. Luther and Sylvia Randolph, \$10,000. They reside at 3900 Ford Road, Unit 9A, Philadelphia, PA 19132. Frank Breslin, Revenue Commissioner, City of Philadelphia, 1401 JFK

Boulevard, Room 630, Philadelphia, PA 19102, in the amount of \$5,078.13. Department of Health and Human Services, HHS Program Support Center, P.O. Box 530231, Atlanta, GA 30353-20231, in the amount of \$15,234.37.

After -- in the event that restitution is made to those people and entities, the remaining restitution shall then be paid to the U.S. Department of Justice, Crime Victims Fund, in the amount of \$15,660.59. I believe this is consistent with the letter you sent me, Mr. Zauzmer, that the defendant's PAC director has agreed to -- that the restitution should, in fact, be paid to the Justice Fund.

MR. ZAUZMER: It is. The fund is actually held by the Treasury Department, the Crime Victims Fund. And the other -- the only other thing that was on the first payments to the other victims that Your Honor said, will be paid before the Crime Victims Fund.

THE COURT: Yes, I just said that.

MR. ZAUZMER: Right. I would just add that it will be pro rata as payments are made.

THE COURT: Okay. That's fine.

MR. ZAUZMER: Thank you.

THE COURT: The restitution is due immediately. It is recommended that the defendant participate in the Bureau of Prisons Inmate Financial Responsibility Program and provide a minimum payment of \$25 a quarter towards restitution. In the

event the entire restitution is not paid before the commencement of supervision, payment of supervision shall become a condition of supervision -- I'm sorry payment of restitution shall become a condition of supervision and shall 5 be paid at the rate of at least \$200 a month to commence 30days after release from confinement. You shall notify the United States Attorney for -- I guess it's the district of New Jersey and not this district. Is that right?

MR. ZAUZMER: Well, I would --

3

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20 ll

21

22

23

THE COURT: Who would be supervising the defendant's supervision, this office or the New Jersey U.S. Attorney's Office.

MR. ZAUZMER: I think it will be the New Jersey office, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Very well. You shall notify the United States Attorney for the district of New Jersey within 30 days of any change of mailing address or residence that occurs while any portion of the restitution remains unpaid. If the restitution is not paid in full 15 days from today, I will impose interest on the restitution. The interest will begin to accrue on November 8^{th} , 2017. The interest will be completed at a rate equal to the weekly average one year constant maturity treasury yield as published by the Board of Governor's of the Federal Reserve System for the calendar week preceding the first day on which the defendant is liable for interest,

and you shall pay a special assessment of \$100 which shall be due immediately. As I indicated before I will make the government's final order of forfeiture a part of the judgment and commitment order of this case, so I believe that's a forfeiture of \$33,009, is that right?

MR. ZAUZMER: That's correct, Your Honor.

observe the standard conditions of supervision in this court. Probation will go over each and every one of those conditions with you. However, I want to emphasize one thing and it is that you, Mr. Williams, not possess any firearms or dangerous weapons. Being a convicted felon it would be illegal for you to possess any firearms or dangerous weapons. There being no objections or further submission, I order that the sentence be imposed as I have just stated it to be.

Mr. Williams, I have now formally imposed sentence and you have now for there -- and, therefore, now been sentenced. The criminal process from the Court's standpoint is over, but from your standpoint it is not over.

As you know, you have many obligations as a result of this sentence. Mr. Williams, I advise you that you have the right to appeal, including the right to appeal the sentence that I just imposed on you. You have 14 days in which to appeal. Your right to appeal might be affected by the terms of your guilty plea agreement. I also advise you that if you are

unable to pay the cost of an appeal that you may apply for leave to appeal in forma pauperis and if that leave is granted for you to appeal in forma pauperis, then there won't be any costs that would be imposed on you to take such an appeal.

If you so request, the Clerk of Court shall prepare and immediately file a notice of appeal on your behalf. Now, Mr. Williams you understand what I've just said with respect to your ability to appeal?

THE DEFENDANT: I do.

THE COURT: The next thing I would like to address is execution of sentence. I am prepared to order that Mr. Williams begin serving his sentence immediately, unless counsel has any reason for delay.

Mr. Burke?

2.3

MR. BURKE: May I address the Court?

THE COURT: Sure.

MR. BURKE: Your Honor, I would ask that the Court — as the Court — the Court took my client in custody on the day of the plea but because of the nature of who it is, at the Federal Detention Center he is not mixed in with the general population. He is in the segregated housing unit. He is in a cell by himself all day, except for one hour, he gets out to go to a cage on the roof. He gets clothes three days a week. He can only make one phone call — one phone call a month as opposed to the other defendants downstairs, they can call

whenever they want. This has caused a great strain on his relationship with his two teenage daughters and, you know, we have spoke to Mrs. Williams. Not saying what the Court said and appropriately pointed out, the crimes against his mother, his mother is in declining health, you know she is 85 years old. She certainly wants to see her son. The -- you know, the prospect that she would be able to survive 40 to 45 months of a sentence is unknown, but certainly at 85 years old with the physical ailments that the Court has noted on the record, I would respectfully request that the Court would reconsider the decision of January -- or of June 29th, release him on house arrest so that his mother can see him and that he can spend some time with his daughters before being classified.

One of the fears here is -- is -- and I have spoken to Joyce Hiracala (Phonetic) who is the regional director's counsel for classification and movement. Because of who he is, there is a distinct likelihood that he will not be allowed to serve his sentence in the Eastern District of Pennsylvania or in the District of New Jersey and could very well wind up serving a sentence several states away, making it incredibly difficult for his girls to come and visit him, and practically impossible for his mother to come and see him. In light of that, I would ask that the Court, very respectfully if you would reconsider your position, release him on house arrest to his former wife's address, pending classification so that his

mother can see him.

I know the Court is very angry with my client and the Court has every grounds to be so. I would ask that you do this on behalf of his mother. The government has -- I spoke with Mr. Zauzmer and I appreciate the position of Mr. Zauzmer and Mr. Moran, and Mr. Gauri, and the government. They take no position either way and I appreciate their courtesy in that regard.

your sentencing memorandum you talked in asking me to -- in effect to reconsider my June 29th decision. You talked at great length about the defendant's desire to see his mother, and you mentioned the other things only at the end rather briefly. Being the good lawyer that you are, and having heard what I've said today, you've tried to upend the order of that. When I read your request that the defendant be allowed to self surrender so he could visit his mother, I thought to myself, the English language doesn't have the word to capture the outrageousness of that request, whether it's gaul, or hubris, brass, audacity. The defendant stole from his mother and now wants to visit her.

The -- my consideration of your client as a flight risk has not changed since June 29th. Your request is denied.

MR. BURKE: May I --

THE COURT: The defendant will remain in custody.

41 1 MR. BURKE: Just for the --2 THE COURT: As for where he is designated, I 3 recommend that the Bureau of Prisons designate him as close to 4 this district as possible, consistent with their security 5 concerns, which is exactly what Ms. Widmeier told me they would 6 do. 7 Yes, Mr. Burke? 8 MR. BÜRKE: Your Honor, it wasn't so he could visit 9 his mother, so his mother could see him. That was my request. So the hubris was not for my client. There is no hubris on 11 behalf of Mr. Williams; she wants to see him. 12 THE COURT: If you care to read your pleading that way, that's fine with me. That's certainly what you said here 14 in court. In any event, I am not changing my order. 15 Is there anything else we need to discuss? 16 MR. BURKE: No. Thank you. 17 THE COURT: All right. My thanks to all counsel, to 18 probation, and to our marshal. 19 THE COURT DEPUTY: All rise. 20 (The proceeding concluded at 2:54 p.m.) 21 22 23 24 25

CERTIFICATION

I, Gillian Lawrence, court approved transcriber, hereby certify that the foregoing, pages 1 to 41, is a correct transcript from the official electronic sound recording of the proceedings in the above-entitled matter, and to the best of my ability.

Gillian Lawrence CER-255, CET-255

DATE: November 9, 2017